

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/829,801	04/10/2001	Harry J. Last		1909	
7	11/14/2002				
ROBERT J. S		EXAMINER  JOHNSON, BLAIR M			
A PROFESSIC SUITE 188	ONAL CORPORATION				
	JRA BOULEVARD HILLS, CA 91364-2109		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3634		
			DATE MAILED: 11/14/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 09/829,801 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit 3634

Last

7	н		п	m	т	т,	т	•	м	11	т	П	ш	-	П
1	и	111	H	ш	ш	7)	ш	П	Ш	ŧII	Ш	и	ш	ш	
	ı	11:	ш	91	ш	H)	ш	ш	ш	1 1	н	H	H	11	и
ı	ı	111	11	м	11	11	ш	ш	ш	§ []	Ш	ш	ш	ш	Н
	н	111	ш	H	ш	L	M	H	н	11	ш	121		11 (	
	П	111	ш	Ш	IJŧ.	и	ш	ш	ш	H	ш	н	и	н	и
	H	114	ш	211	ш	и	ш	ш	111	H	ш	ш	14	н	
	и	111	ш	KI I	ш	BI	ш	ш		3 4	ш	Ш	и	ш	

Office Action Summary

		Blair M. Johnson	3634	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corres	pondence addres	:s
A SH	for Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE1 MONTH	H(S) FROM	
- Extens mailing - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138 (a). In a date of this communication, beriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the seriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause to ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailin the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S	e considered timely. ng date of this commun S.C. § 133).	
Status	<b></b>			
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 3, 20	002		•
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This ac	tion is non-final.		
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa			merits is
Disposit	tion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-67</u>	is/are	pending in the	application.
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/ar	e withdrawn fro	m consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.	
6) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are rejected.	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are objected	to.
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-67</u>	are subject to restric	tion and/or elec	tion requirement.
Applica	tion Papers			
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objecte	ed to by the Exa	miner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		b)□ disapprove	ed by the Examiner.
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	relation under 25 11 C C & 110(a)	(d) or (f)	
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p  All b) Some* c) None of:	priority under 35 0.3.C. 3 115(a)	-(0) 01 (1).	
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve heen received		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have		Jo.	
	3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority of	documents have been received in		tage
*S	application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th			
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e).	
a) [	The translation of the foreign language provision	al application has been received.		
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	0 and/or <b>121</b> .	
Attachm	•			
=	ntice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper		
_	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	<ul><li>6) Notice of Informal Patent Application</li><li>6) Other:</li></ul>	(P1O-152)	
31 🗀 tm	omiation discussing statement(s) (FTO 1445) Faper Nots).	or outsi.		

Art Unit: 3623

## Election/Restriction

This constitutes a second restriction requirement. Upon closer examination, it appears that several species are present as best understood in light of the specification. These species have been broken down as detailed below. Certain of the species do not have figures associated therewith and will be identified by the terms used in the disclosure.

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the invention as elected by Applicant on 9/3/02 has numerous species for each of three subcombinations of the invention, (1) the travel limiter, (2) the brake, and (3) the control systems. The species for the travel limiter are: as shown in Fig. 6; in Fig. 15-17; in Fig. 18; the rotary encoder. The species for the brake are: as shown in Fig. 6; internal brake; counterbalance valve and return check valve; drive ratio brake. The species for the control systems are shown in each of Figs. 12,13 and 14.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-3,13-16 and 46-48 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 09/829801 Page 3

Art Unit: 3623

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Blair M. Johnson

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

November 12, 2002 Tel (703) 308-0526 Fax (703) 305-3597